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## Section 1: Program Planning:

Internal Analysis: Anthropology

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> $10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Anthropology credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 20122013.

The fill rate in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 20132014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $-10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to $10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $65.4 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Anthropology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) in comparison with the term retention rate in 20122013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (82.3\%) and was substantially higher ( $>10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Anthropology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Anthropology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The success and retention rates for online and telecourse instruction has improved over time. Instructors are to be commended for successfully improving their courses.

## Demographics:

There was a drop in the enrollment of people under 19, which probably relates to the scheduling of STAR program courses. Scheduling and enrollment in STAR sections varies widely. This may also relate to other demographic trends, such as a drop in female versus male enrollments. Because Anthropology currently offers to two telecourses, a substantial portion of our student population is incarcerated. This population tends to skew male versus female. It also tends to skew the data towards ethnic and racial groups such as African-American and Hispanic. It is notable that success and retention rates have generally improved across the board for all ethnic/racial groups, genders and ages (although there are some outliers in smaller populations, such as Pacific Islanders and Native-Americans).

## Implications of Change

Current trends in Anthropology are positive. Success and retention rates for distance learning modalities has increased, as have enrollments. The one low point has been the low enrollments in onsite sections.

Table 1.1 Program Productivity Data for Anthropology

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 465 | 636 | 732 |
| FTES | 43.6 | 58.5 | 67.5 |
| FTEF30 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 893 | 993 | 935 |
| Sections | 8.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 |
| Fill Rate | 91.7\% | 83.7\% | 87.3\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 454 | 635 | 727 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 39.9\% | 34.2\% | 33.3\% |
| Male | 58.8\% | 64.9\% | 66.2\% |
| Unknown | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 22.7\% | 6.9\% | 10.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 22.0\% | 23.8\% | 17.5\% |
| 25 to 29 | 11.7\% | 17.0\% | 15.8\% |
| 30 to 34 | 12.8\% | 15.9\% | 16.1\% |
| 35 to 39 | 9.0\% | 11.5\% | 10.9\% |
| 40 to 49 | 13.9\% | 15.7\% | 17.6\% |
| 50 and Older | 7.9\% | 9.1\% | 11.4\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 11.9\% | 20.6\% | 20.4\% |
| American Indian | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% |
| Asian | 13.4\% | 15.7\% | 14.7\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 25.1\% | 19.4\% | 20.8\% |
| Pacific Islander | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% |
| White | 39.4\% | 34.5\% | 38.4\% |
| Unknown | 4.6\% | 3.9\% | 1.7\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Online | 26.7\% | 26.6\% | 37.6\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 53.1\% | 68.5\% | 55.8\% |
| Traditional | 20.3\% | 4.9\% | 6.6\% |

Table 12 Program Review Data for Anthropology by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 454 | 635 | 727 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $66.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.8 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 273 |
| Online | 121 | 169 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 406 |
| Telecourse | 241 | 435 | 48 |
| Traditional | 92 | 31 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $66.9 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced | $68.0 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $63.0 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $86.0 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $88.9 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $86.7 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $97.8 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.3 Program Review Data for Anthropology by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 454 | 635 | 727 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $66.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.8 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  | 242 |
| Female | 181 | 217 | 481 |
| Male | 267 | 412 | 4 |
| Unknown | 6 | 6 |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $65.2 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $67.0 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $90.1 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $87.6 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 1.4 Program Review Data for Anthropology by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 454 | 635 | 727 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $66.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.8 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 103 | 44 | 78 |
| 20 to 24 | 100 | 151 | 127 |
| 25 to 29 | 53 | 108 | 115 |
| 30 to 34 | 58 | 101 | 117 |
| 35 to 39 | 41 | 73 | 79 |
| 40 to 49 | 63 | 100 | 128 |
| 50 and Older | 36 | 58 | 83 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $65.0 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $60.0 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $60.4 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $70.7 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $75.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $79.4 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $61.1 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $95.1 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $90.0 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $84.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $86.2 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $87.8 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $87.3 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $80.6 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ |

Table 1.5 Program Review Data for Anthropology by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 454 | 635 | 727 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $66.7 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $88.8 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | 54 | 131 | 148 |
| American Indian | 18 | 28 | 21 |
| Asian | 61 | 100 | 107 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 114 | 123 | 151 |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| White | 179 | 219 | 279 |
| Unknown | 21 | 25 | 12 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $59.3 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $55.6 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $70.5 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $62.3 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $85.7 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| White | $70.9 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $66.7 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $83.3 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| American Indian | $83.3 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $93.4 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $93.0 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| White | $86.0 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | $90.5 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Geography

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Geography credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to 10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 20122013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $65.4 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Geography courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Geography in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Geography in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 20122013.

## Modality:

Success and retention in traditional onsite classes have remained relatively static. There has been some drop in the success and retention of our online courses since they were first offered in 2013-14, although this probably reflects in part the addition of more advanced specialized courses in Cultural and Physical Geography.

## Demographics:

There have been some shifts in demographics. Overall the population in this discipline has tended to skew younger and less Asian than in the past. Previously the program had only offered one onsite class which tended to be heavily populated by Vietnamese students - the additional of a number of online sections has changed the overall demographic profile. Currently there are no telecourses in geography, so demographic data does not include any incarcerated students.

## Implications of Change

There has been a substantial increase in enrollments in Geography. This has been driven in part by the offering of more class sections and offering a greater variety of courses. The fill rates have dropped a bit, but this reflects overall the rapid increase in the number of sections being offered and more specialized courses (other than the basic World Regional Geography course) which tend to get slightly smaller enrollments. There has been an overall drop in success and retention rates, but this largely reflects the shift from having only one onsite class during the 2012-13 term to an additional six online classes for 2014-15 term.

Table 1.6 Program Productivity Data for Geography

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 36 | 150 | 314 |
| FTES | 3.7 | 14.0 | 29.0 |
| FTEF3O | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 608 | 748 | 642 |
| Sections | 1.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 |
| Fill Rate | $112.5 \%$ | $103.8 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 36 | 150 | 316 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 47.2\% | 56.7\% | 58.5\% |
| Male | 52.8\% | 40.7\% | 39.9\% |
| Unknown | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.6\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 16.7\% | 9.3\% | 14.6\% |
| 20 to 24 | 25.0\% | 33.3\% | 37.7\% |
| 25 to 29 | 11.1\% | 16.7\% | 16.8\% |
| 30 to 34 | 11.1\% | 8.0\% | 5.7\% |
| 35 to 39 | 5.6\% | 4.0\% | 6.3\% |
| 40 to 49 | 13.9\% | 11.3\% | 7.6\% |
| 50 and Older | 16.7\% | 17.3\% | 11.4\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 2.8\% | 6.0\% | 4.7\% |
| American Indian | 8.3\% | 4.0\% | 4.7\% |
| Asian | 69.4\% | 35.3\% | 37.3\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% |
| White | 16.7\% | 37.3\% | 40.8\% |
| Unknown | 2.8\% | 4.7\% | 1.3\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Online | 0.0\% | 81.3\% | 91.1\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Traditional | 100.0\% | 18.7\% | 8.9\% |

Table 1.7 Program Review Data for Geography by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 36 | 150 | 316 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 288 |
| Online | 0 | 122 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telecourse | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Traditional | 36 | 28 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse | $94.4 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced | $94.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Telecourse | $92.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.8 Program Review Data for Geography by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 36 | 150 | 316 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 17 | 85 | 185 |
| Male | 19 | 61 | 126 |
| Unknown | 0 | 4 | 5 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $94.1 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $94.7 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $94.1 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $94.7 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |

Table 1.9 Program Review Data for Geography by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 36 | 150 | 316 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 6 | 14 | 46 |
| 20 to 24 | 9 | 50 | 119 |
| 25 to 29 | 4 | 25 | 53 |
| 30 to 34 | 4 | 12 | 18 |
| 35 to 39 | 2 | 6 | 20 |
| 40 to 49 | 5 | 17 | 24 |
| 50 and Older | 6 | 26 | 36 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $83.3 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $100.0 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $100.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $75.0 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $100.0 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $100.0 \%$ | $80.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $83.3 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $100.0 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $100.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $75.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $100.0 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $100.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |

Table 1.10 Program Review Data for Geography by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 36 | 150 | 316 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $94.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| African American | 1 | 9 | 15 |
| American Indian | 3 | 6 | 15 |
| Asian | 25 | 53 | 118 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 0 | 18 | 31 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| White | 6 | 56 | 129 |
| Unknown | 1 | 7 | 4 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $100.0 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $96.0 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino |  | $55.6 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $83.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $100.0 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ |
| Unknown |  | $85.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $100.0 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $96.0 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino |  | $72.2 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $83.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $100.0 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Unknown |  | $85.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: History

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 20122013.

The FTES in History credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the college average (65.4\%) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard for course success ( $55.4 \%$ ).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in History courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the college average (82.3\%) and was substantially higher (>10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in History in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 20122013.

The number of certificates in History in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

Over the past couple of years our enrollments in telecourses has increased relative to both online and traditional modalities. Traditional (onsite) enrollments have also decreased in absolute terms, from 226 in 2012-13 to 156 in 2014-15. While success rates have increased in the telecourses, they have declined slightly in online courses.

## Demographics:

There have not been major changes in the demographics of students taking our history courses, although there has been a slight shift in the male to female ratio and a slight increase in the African-American and Hispanic populations. It is not quite clear why this should occur, although it probably relates to enrollments in telecourses which serve our incarcerated populations (History offers four telecourses). Over the past couple of years telecourse enrollments have increased relative to other modalities.

## Implications of Change

Enrollments in our history sections continues to increase, going from 180 FTES in 2012-13 to 266 in 201415. Similarly we've seen an increase in the number of history degrees being conferred. Over the past couple of years we've offered more sections and a wider variety of courses and we've been successful in filling these classes. Overall, success and retention rates have remained relatively static, indicating an area where we need improvement. The data indicates that while telecourse success rates have actually improved, the success rates for online courses has declined a bit, indicating that this is another area where we need to focus our attention. The data also indicates a continuing struggle to get students into onsite classrooms.

Table 1.11 Program Productivity Data for History

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 1,937 | 2,519 | 2,896 |
| FTES | 179.6 | 231.9 | 266.5 |
| FTEF30 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 830 | 951 | 936 |
| Sections | 32.0 | 38.0 | 43.0 |
| Fill Rate | 87.4\% | 83.2\% | 85.8\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 18 | 47 | 53 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 1,870 | 2,502 | 2,876 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 46.4\% | 40.2\% | 35.5\% |
| Male | 52.5\% | 58.9\% | 63.5\% |
| Unknown | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 15.1\% | 11.6\% | 9.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 28.0\% | 26.9\% | 25.0\% |
| 25 to 29 | 16.2\% | 15.7\% | 16.3\% |
| 30 to 34 | 11.8\% | 12.8\% | 14.1\% |
| 35 to 39 | 8.3\% | 9.7\% | 11.0\% |
| 40 to 49 | 13.4\% | 14.7\% | 14.4\% |
| 50 and Older | 7.3\% | 8.6\% | 9.5\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 10.6\% | 13.3\% | 14.9\% |
| American Indian | 2.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.8\% |
| Asian | 23.2\% | 19.7\% | 18.4\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 13.7\% | 16.4\% | 19.3\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% |
| White | 41.1\% | 43.2\% | 40.3\% |
| Unknown | 7.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% |
| Online | 58.0\% | 52.7\% | 46.6\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 29.9\% | 41.6\% | 45.7\% |
| Traditional | 12.1\% | 5.7\% | 5.4\% |

Table 1.12 Program Review Data for History by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,870 | 2,502 | 2,876 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $62.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.9 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 1,339 |
| Online | 1,084 | 1,318 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 1,314 |
| Telecourse | 560 | 1,042 | 156 |
| Traditional | 226 | 142 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $64.6 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $59.5 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $55.0 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $68.1 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $80.5 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $81.9 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $81.1 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $90.3 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.13 Program Review Data for History by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,870 | 2,502 | 2,876 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $62.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.9 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  |  |
| Female | 867 | 1,006 | 1,022 |
| Male | 981 | 1,474 | 1,826 |
| Unknown | 22 | 22 | 28 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $62.5 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $61.8 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $63.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $81.9 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $81.9 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $81.8 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ |

Table 1.14 Program Review Data for History by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,870 | 2,502 | 2,876 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $62.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.9 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 19 | 282 | 290 | 280 |
| 20 to 24 | 523 | 672 | 718 |
| 25 to 29 | 303 | 393 | 468 |
| 30 to 34 | 220 | 321 | 406 |
| 35 to 39 | 155 | 243 | 317 |
| 40 to 49 | 251 | 368 | 413 |
| 50 and Older | 136 | 215 | 274 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $72.0 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $56.4 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $59.7 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $65.9 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $61.9 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $64.5 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $58.8 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $89.0 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $80.1 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $79.9 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $78.2 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $80.6 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $84.5 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $80.9 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ |

Table 1.15 Program Review Data for History by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,870 | 2,502 | 2,876 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $62.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $81.9 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
|  | 199 | 333 | 429 |
| African American | 52 | 87 | 108 |
| American Indian | 434 | 492 | 530 |
| Asian | 257 | 410 | 554 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 13 | 32 | 26 |
| Pacific Islander | 769 | 1,080 | 1,158 |
| White | 146 | 68 | 71 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $38.2 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $40.4 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ |
| Asian | $65.7 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $61.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $53.8 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ |
| White | $68.1 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | $63.0 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  | $79.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American | $74.4 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ |
| American Indian | $73.1 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $84.3 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $83.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $76.9 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| White | $83.0 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $80.1 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ |  |

## Internal Analysis: Human Services

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to 4.9) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease ( -1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Human Services credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (>10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was substantially lower (>-10.0\%) than the college average (65.4\%) and was slightly higher (1.0\% to 4.9\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Human Services courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was substantially higher (>10.0\%) than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Human Services in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Human Services in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The Human Services program classes are currently offered only in an online modality. There has been some increase in the success rate in these courses, but they remain relatively low (less than 60\%) and lower than the college average.

## Demographics:

There doesn't seem to be any substantial changes in the demographics of the program, but we need to get revised data from the Research Office, as some of the data provided is for Real Estate rather than Human Services.

## Implications of Change

There has been some decline in the number of students enrolled in the Human Services program and the number of degrees and certificates being conferred. This indicates we need further efforts to promote the program - it is hoped that the addition of a full-time faculty member in Human Services/Sociology will help accomplish this goal.

Table 1.17 Program Review Data for Human Services by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 292 | 309 | 287 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $51.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $87.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| Online | 292 | 309 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telecourse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Traditional | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $51.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | $87.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.18 Program Review Data for Real Estate by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 292 | 309 | 287 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $51.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $87.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  | 208 |
| Female | 215 | 235 | 77 |
| Male | 76 | 72 | 2 |
| Unknown | 1 | 2 |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $52.6 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $48.7 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retention Rate | $83.3 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| - Female | $97.4 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| - Male | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown |  |  |  |

Table 1.19 Program Review Data for Real Estate by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 292 | 309 | 287 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $51.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $87.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 19 | 13 | 14 | 8 |
| 20 to 24 | 69 | 74 | 44 |
| 25 to 29 | 43 | 45 | 42 |
| 30 to 34 | 31 | 23 | 35 |
| 35 to 39 | 33 | 28 | 39 |
| 40 to 49 | 56 | 66 | 57 |
| 50 and Older | 47 | 59 | 62 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $30.8 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $47.8 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $41.9 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $35.5 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $60.6 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $55.4 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $72.3 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 100.0\% | 85.7\% | 87.5\% |
| 20 to 24 | 87.0\% | 94.6\% | 88.6\% |
| 25 to 29 | 81.4\% | 86.7\% | 97.6\% |
| 30 to 34 | 80.6\% | 73.9\% | 91.4\% |
| 35 to 39 | 93.9\% | 89.3\% | 89.7\% |
| 40 to 49 | 80.4\% | 83.3\% | 86.0\% |
| 50 and Older | 95.7\% | 83.1\% | 77.4\% |

Table 1.20 Program Review Data for Real Estate by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 292 | 309 | 287 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $51.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $87.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| African American | 37 | 61 | 52 |
| American Indian | 9 | 12 | 2 |
| Asian | 61 | 57 | 66 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 42 | 46 | 41 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| White | 132 | 119 | 115 |
| Unknown | 10 | 12 | 5 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $32.4 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $66.7 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $65.6 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $61.9 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $47.0 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $50.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $94.6 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | $77.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $88.5 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $88.1 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ |
| White | $84.1 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | $90.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |  |

## Internal Analysis: Political Science

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to 4.9) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of enrollments in 20122013.

The FTES in Political Science credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):
The number of sections in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 20122013.

The fill rate in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight increase (1.0\% to 4.9\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was moderately lower ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Political Science courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Political Science in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 20122013.

The number of certificates in Political Science in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

As in other disciplines which offer telecourses, there has been a significant rise in telecourse enrollments over the past three years relative to other modalities. Success rates in both online and telecourse modalities remain poor. In the telecourse the success rate has declined from $62 \%$ to $45 \%$. Online success rates have remained relatively static, but are overall poor - as of 2014-15 only $44 \%$ of students taking an online political science course are successful.

## Demographics:

There has not been major changes in the demographics of students taking political science courses, although there has been some shift, probably because of the increased number of incarcerated students taking the Political Science telecourse.

## Implications of Change

Most data indicates relatively little change over the three years being tracked. Overall success rates remain quite low (around 50\%) which indicates an area where we need improvement. This is particularly evident in telecourses and online courses.

Table 1.21 Program Productivity Data for Political Science

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 1,284 | 1,309 | 1,279 |
| FTES | 119.3 | 121.3 | 118.5 |
| FTEF30 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 870 | 807 | 756 |
| Sections | 19.0 | 21.0 | 22.0 |
| Fill Rate | 83.9\% | 84.1\% | 76.8\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 1,247 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 48.9\% | 49.0\% | 45.5\% |
| Male | 49.5\% | 49.8\% | 53.7\% |
| Unknown | 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 16.6\% | 12.1\% | 10.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 29.2\% | 26.5\% | 29.1\% |
| 25 to 29 | 15.3\% | 15.9\% | 17.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 12.0\% | 12.4\% | 13.3\% |
| 35 to 39 | 6.7\% | 9.9\% | 10.0\% |
| 40 to 49 | 14.0\% | 13.1\% | 11.9\% |
| 50 and Older | 6.1\% | 10.2\% | 7.5\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 10.5\% | 15.8\% | 18.5\% |
| American Indian | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | 2.7\% |
| Asian | 22.6\% | 22.5\% | 18.0\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 15.4\% | 16.7\% | 17.2\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| White | 42.3\% | 38.1\% | 40.3\% |
| Unknown | 5.9\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid | 3.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% |
| Online | 63.5\% | 55.5\% | 56.5\% |
| Self-Paced | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse | 19.5\% | 30.0\% | 29.5\% |
| Traditional | 13.9\% | 12.1\% | 11.7\% |

Table 1.22 Program Review Data for Political Science by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,247 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $50.4 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $85.6 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Hybrid | 39 | 29 | 681 |
| Online | 792 | 658 | 0 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 356 |
| Telecourse | 243 | 356 | 141 |
| Traditional | 173 | 143 |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hybrid | $43.6 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| Online | $42.3 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| Self-Paced |  |  | $44.9 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $61.7 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
| Traditional | $73.4 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ |  |

Retention Rate
Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $82.1 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Online | $85.5 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Self-Paced |  |  | $75.6 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $80.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |
| Traditional | $94.8 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ |  |

Table 1.23 Program Pro Data for Political Science by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,247 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $50.4 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $85.6 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 610 | 581 | 549 |
| Male | 617 | 591 | 648 |
| Unknown | 20 | 14 | 9 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $48.4 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $51.9 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $70.0 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $87.2 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $84.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $80.0 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |

Table 1.24 Program Review Data for Political Science by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,247 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $50.4 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $85.6 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 207 | 143 | 129 |
| 20 to 24 | 364 | 314 | 351 |
| 25 to 29 | 191 | 189 | 211 |
| 30 to 34 | 150 | 147 | 160 |
| 35 to 39 | 84 | 117 | 121 |
| 40 to 49 | 175 | 155 | 144 |
| 50 and Older | 76 | 121 | 90 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $61.8 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $44.2 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $44.0 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $52.0 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $59.5 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $56.0 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $39.5 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 91.8\% | 86.0\% | 86.0\% |
| 20 to 24 | 84.9\% | 85.4\% | 78.9\% |
| 25 to 29 | 81.7\% | 82.5\% | 74.4\% |
| 30 to 34 | 83.3\% | 83.0\% | 83.8\% |
| 35 to 39 | 88.1\% | 76.1\% | 76.0\% |
| 40 to 49 | 85.1\% | 83.9\% | 72.9\% |
| 50 and Older | 85.5\% | 83.5\% | 80.0\% |

Table 1.25 Program Review Data for Political Science by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,247 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $50.4 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $85.6 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
|  | 131 | 187 | 223 |
| African American | 30 | 39 | 33 |
| American Indian | 282 | 267 | 217 |
| Asian | 192 | 198 | 208 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 11 | 13 | 13 |
| Pacific Islander | 528 | 452 | 486 |
| White | 73 | 30 | 26 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $42.7 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $46.7 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| Asian | $48.6 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $45.3 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $63.6 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ |
| White | $54.7 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $53.4 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $80.9 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $76.7 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ |
| Asian | $87.2 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $82.3 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $90.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| White | $88.1 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | $82.2 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Sociology

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Sociology credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $65.4 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Sociology courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention ( $70.3 \%$ ).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Sociology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Sociology in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 20122013.

## Modality:

As in other disciplines, the number of telecourse enrollments has increased over time. Success rates have remained relatively static over time, although there has been some improvement in our telecourse success rates and success in our online courses remains comparatively high (around 75\%).

## Demographics:

Increases in telecourse enrollments which primarily serve the incarcerated population has led to a slight increase in the African-American and Hispanic populations and some change in terms of the gender ratios.

## Implications of Change

Overall we've seen a significant expansion of the Sociology program over the three years being tracked. The number of sections and courses being offered and overall enrollments have grown substantially. The data provided indicates that no degrees have been conferred in Sociology over the past three years - I believe this is inaccurate. The state chancellor's data mart indicates that Coastline granted 9 AA degrees in Sociology in 2012-13 and 19 in 2014-15. The generally high levels of success rates in our online and telecourse offerings are encouraging, although there is always room for improvement.

Table 1.26 Program Productivity Data for Sociology

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 1,154 | 1,535 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1 4}$ |
| FTES | 105.4 | 141.0 | 193.8 |
| FTEF30 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 1,313 | 1,273 | 1,223 |
| Sections | 11.0 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| Fill Rate | $90.5 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 1,124 | 1,497 | 2,128 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 35.2\% | 28.3\% | 25.8\% |
| Male | 63.7\% | 70.5\% | 73.6\% |
| Unknown | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 6.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.3\% |
| 20 to 24 | 20.4\% | 17.2\% | 15.0\% |
| 25 to 29 | 14.5\% | 14.1\% | 16.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 16.5\% | 15.7\% | 15.6\% |
| 35 to 39 | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 15.0\% |
| 40 to 49 | 21.2\% | 21.7\% | 21.4\% |
| 50 and Older | 7.8\% | 13.0\% | 12.2\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 22.5\% | 24.0\% | 24.2\% |
| American Indian | 2.1\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% |
| Asian | 15.1\% | 14.8\% | 12.7\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 19.5\% | 22.4\% | 23.8\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| White | 33.1\% | 31.2\% | 32.0\% |
| Unknown | 7.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 31.3\% | 24.4\% | 28.1\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse | 68.7\% | 72.3\% | 69.2\% |
| Traditional | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.7\% |

Table 1.27 Program Review Data for Sociology by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 8}$ |
| -Overall Success Rate | $57.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 0 |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY | 0 |  |
| Cable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Correspondence | 0 | 0 | 598 |
| Hybrid | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Online | 352 | 365 | 1,472 |
| Self-Paced | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| Telecourse | 772 | 1,082 | 50 |
| Traditional | 0 |  | 0 |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $73.0 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced | $50.5 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  | $76.0 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hybrid | $86.6 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $77.6 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $78.4 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  | $92.0 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.28 Program Review Data for Sociology by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,124 | 1,497 | 2,128 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $57.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |


|  | STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  | 549 |
| Female | 396 | 424 | 1,567 |
| Male | 716 | 1,056 | 12 |
| Unknown | 12 | 17 |  |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $62.9 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $54.9 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $41.7 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Retention Rate  <br> - Female $83.6 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |  |
| - Male | $79.6 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $75.0 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |

Table 1.29 Program Review Data for Sociology by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,124 | 1,497 | 2,128 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $57.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 75 | 79 | 92 |
| 20 to 24 | 229 | 257 | 320 |
| 25 to 29 | 163 | 211 | 351 |
| 30 to 34 | 185 | 235 | 332 |
| 35 to 39 | 146 | 195 | 319 |
| 40 to 49 | 238 | 325 | 455 |
| 50 and Older | 88 | 195 | 259 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $49.3 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $60.3 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $57.7 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $51.9 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $59.6 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $55.9 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $70.5 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 82.7\% | 88.6\% | 82.6\% |
| 20 to 24 | 85.2\% | 83.3\% | 76.6\% |
| 25 to 29 | 74.8\% | 83.4\% | 77.8\% |
| 30 to 34 | 80.5\% | 77.0\% | 79.2\% |
| 35 to 39 | 80.8\% | 81.5\% | 82.8\% |
| 40 to 49 | 76.9\% | 82.2\% | 81.5\% |
| 50 and Older | 92.0\% | 86.7\% | 81.1\% |

Table 1.30 Program Review Data for Sociology by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 1,124 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 8}$ |
| - Overall Success Rate | $57.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| African American | 253 | 359 | 516 |
| American Indian | 24 | 53 | 82 |
| Asian | 170 | 221 | 270 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 219 | 335 | 506 |
| Pacific Islander | 6 | 17 | 24 |
| White | 372 | 467 | 680 |
| Unknown | 80 | 45 | 50 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $51.4 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $29.2 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $60.6 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $51.6 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| White | $68.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| Unknown | $51.2 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $81.0 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $66.7 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $81.2 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $77.2 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $66.7 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| White | $82.5 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $88.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ |

## Program Student Learning Outcome(s)

The program engaged in a discussion of Program Review outcomes during our Spring 2016 meeting. Overall we did not see dramatic changes in our PSLOs outcomes, either negative or positive. Much of our discussion involved future developments. Specifically, how the transition to Canvas might change the success rates in our Program Outcomes and how we will be assessing Program Outcomes in the future. Previously all SLOs assessments was reported through a tool in the Seaport Learning Management system. With the switch to Canvas this tool is no longer available and it has not yet been determined how we will assess and report SLOs data for Fall 2016 moving forward. This should be resolved by the college as soon as possible.

## Progress on Forward Strategy Initiative(s)

Table 1.31 Progress on Forward Strategies

| Initiative(s) | Status | Progress Status Description | Outcome(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Diversify Course offerings | Ongoing | We have added additional <br> courses in Geography, History <br> and Sociology. | Enrollments in the new classes <br> has been good. |
| Develop Geography transfer major | Ongoing | Need to develop an additional <br> course. Anticipate this course <br> will be added this year. | Will work on this this year. <br> Probably won't be able to <br> implement until 2016-17 term. |
| Improve retention and success <br> rates throughout the program, but <br> particularly in Political Science | Ongoing | Relatively speaking, our <br> success and retention rates <br> have remained relatively <br> static. It's also clear that <br> Political Science continues to <br> be a problem area with very <br> low success rates. | Continue working on success <br> and retention rates, particularly <br> in online modalities. It is hoped <br> that the recent conversion to <br> Canvas will facilitate this <br> process. |
| Work with the faculty and the <br> college to lower costs for students, <br> specifically with regard to <br> textbooks | Ongoing | The program will be working <br> with the OER coordinator to <br> determine feasibility of <br> adopting OER textbooks. The <br> f/t History faculty member has <br> committed to converting all <br> his courses to OER for Spring <br> 2016 | To be determined. One course <br> currently employing an OER <br> textbook and a larger number <br> will be using them in Spring <br> 2016. |
| Work with the Dean at Newport <br> Beach to develop alternative <br> scheduling patterns which will <br> improve onsite enrollments. | Ongoing | To date we have not had a <br> great deal of success. <br> Enrollments in onsite classes <br> remain low. Will work with <br> incoming Dean on this issue. | Enrollments in onsite courses <br> remain low. |
| In conjunction with the Distance <br> Learning department, ensure that all <br> telecourses offered in the program are <br> current. | Ongoing | Development began on <br> working with the DL <br> department to update our <br> Political Science telecourse, <br> but because of instructor <br> illness, the project was not <br> completed. | Incomplete. Need to follow up <br> on this issue. |

## Section 2: Human Capital Planning

## Staffing

Table 2.1 Staffing Plan

| Year | Administrator | Management | F/T Faculty | Adjunct | Classified | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous year <br> $2014-2015$ | Dean | N/A | 2 | 21 | N/A |  |
| Current year <br> $2015-2016$ | Dean | N/A | 3 | 23 | N/A |  |
| 1 year <br> $2016-2017$ | Dean | N/A | 3 | 24 | N/A |  |
| 2 years <br> $2017-2018$ | Dean | N/A | 3 | 24 | N/A |  |
| 3 years <br> $2018-2019$ | Dean | N/A | 3 | 24 | N/A |  |

The Social Sciences Department currently employs three full-time faculty members and approximately 23 part-time faculty. The department does not anticipate the need for another full-time faculty member at this time. We do anticipate a gradual expansion in some of our programs (notably Geography and History) which will require additional part-time faculty.

## Professional Development

Professional development in the previous year was focused primarily upon Canvas training in anticipation of the wholesale conversion to this system by Summer of 2016. Four part-time faculty members did not complete the training and were not assigned any online courses for Fall 2016.

## Section 3: Facilities Planning

## Facility Assessment

The programs does not require any facilities other than normal classrooms and office spaces for the fulltime faculty. We are still waiting on the assignment of an office space to our new full-time faculty member (Human Services/Sociology), but we expect that this will be resolved shortly. Work areas for part-time faculty at the existing learning centers appear to be sufficient for current demand.

## Section 4: Technology Planning

## Technology Assessment

The program does not use any specialized technology. It is possible that there will be an increasing demand for software related to the improvement and development of our courses, such as Camtasia (to develop videos) or Softchalk (to develop interactive learning objects). We will work with the FSC to determine the needs of the department.

## Section 5: New Initiatives

Initiative: Development of Model Courses.

## Describe how the initiative supports the college mission:

Provide an explanation of how the initiative supports the College mission.

## What college goal does the initiative align with?

x Student Success, Completion, and Achievement
$x$ Instructional and Programmatic Excellence
$\square$ Access and Student Support
$\square$ Student Retention and Persistence
$\square$ Culture of Evidence, Planning, Innovation, and Change
$\square$ Partnerships and Community Engagement
$\square$ Fiscal Stewardship, Scalability, and Sustainability
What College planning document(s) does the initiative align with?
$x$ Educational Master Plan $\square$ Facilities
$\square$ Staffing $\times$ Technology

## What evidence supports this initiative?

$\square$ Learning Outcome (SLO/PSLO) assessment
x Internal Research (Student achievement, program performance)
$\square$ External Research (Academic literature, market assessment, audit findings, compliance mandates)

## Describe how the evidence supports this initiative.

Throughout our disciplines, the success and retention rates for online courses is substantially lower than for our onsite courses. By developing Model Courses for key high volume classes, we hope to achieve two things:
1 - Improve performance of recently hired new part-time faculty. Rather than having to develop an entire course from scratch in our system, using the Coastline template, newly hired faculty will be able to adopt this high quality model courses and then adapt them to their needs.
2 - Overall improvement of instruction. By creating these models and making them available to all faculty, we hope that it will spur them to integrate some of the innovations and best practices modeled to their own courses.

## Recommended resource(s) needed for initiative achievement:

To achieve this goal we anticipate requesting some funding in terms of stipends for faculty members who are working to develop model courses. We believe that this funding will be available through the Faculty Success Center. The precise level of funding remains to be determined, but we estimate it will be in the range of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1000$ per faculty member, per model course.

## What is the anticipated outcome of completing the initiative?

Specify the anticipated result(s) of completing the initiative.

## Provide a timeline and timeframe from initiative inception to completion.

It is possible that this initiative could be begun in Fall 2016, but it is more likely that it will be Spring 2016.
The exact number of model courses that we would develop remains to be seen - we anticipate that there will be a minimum of three courses.

## Section 6: Prioritization

The program is interested in developing model online courses. To that end, we will be seeking some stipends for faculty members who are working on these courses. The exact level of funding is undetermined, although we would anticipate somewhere between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1000$ per faculty member, per course. We anticipate that this initiative will be pursued through the Faculty Success Center.

| Initiative | Resource(s) | Est. <br> Cost | Funding <br> Type | Health, <br> Safety <br> Compliance | Evidence | College Goal | To be <br> Completed <br> by | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Develop Model online <br> courses | Stipends for faculty <br> working on courses | $\$ 3,000-$ <br> $\$ 5,000$ | Funding <br> likely <br> coming <br> through <br> FSC |  | Low <br> student <br> success <br> and <br> retention <br> rates in <br> Online <br> courses. | Student <br> Success, <br> Instructional <br> and <br> Programmatic <br> Excellence | End of <br> Spring <br> 2017 | Moderate |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At this point in time, the program does not anticipate a demand for an additional full-time faculty position. Part-time faculty will be added as needed.

| Initiative | Resource(s) | Est. <br> Cost | Funding <br> Type | Health, <br> Safety <br> Compliance | Evidence | College <br> Goal | To be <br> Completed <br> by | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

